

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE | | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/691,119 | 10/19/2000 | Tetsuo Ono | 503.36911VX1 | 2259 | |
| 20457 | 7590 09/30/2003 | | | | |
| ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | | OLSEN, ALLAN W | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1763 | | |

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application N | | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | 09/691,119 | · | ONO ET AL. | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Allan W. Olsen | | 1763 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1)🖂 | _ | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | · · · <u> </u> | is action is non- | final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ | 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8-16</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | | |
| Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 09/249,292. | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice | e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 4) 5) 6) | - | | | | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Application/Control Number: 09/691,119

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1 and 4-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,779,925 issued to Hashimoto et al. (hereinafter, Hashimoto).

Hashimoto teaches etching a sample having a gate electrode film layer that overlies a gate oxide layer. Hashimoto teaches a gate electrode film layer containing polysilicon and having sub-micron features and an underlying gate oxide having a thickness of 10 nm or less, for example 6 nm (column 2, line 67 - column 3, line 1; column 5, lines 18-20; column 11, line 10; column 17, lines 29-39). Hashimoto teaches etching a pattern into the gate electrode film such that both broad width and narrow width opening are formed (column 4, lines 41-44; column 16, lines 10-15). Hashimoto teaches applying an RF bias power to the substrate having a frequency of greater than 100 kHz (e.g., 13.56 MHz). Hashimoto teaches on-off modulating the RF bias power with an on-off repetition frequency of 5-500 kHz (column 12, lines 32-33).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/691,119

Art Unit: 1763

Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpat ntable ov r Hashimoto as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Jeng et al. in TW 280006 as abstracted in Derwent Acc-No. 1996-42416 (hereinafter, Jeng).

Hashimoto does not explicitly teach using a plasma having a density of at least 1X10¹⁰ cm⁻³. Hashimoto does not teach using an etchant that is a mixture of chlorine and oxygen.

Jeng teaches using an etchant that is a mixture of chlorine and oxygen to etch a polysilicon gate layer in a high density plasma.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use a plasma with a density of at least 1X10¹⁰ cm⁻³ when carrying out the process of Hashimoto because Hashimoto teaches using an ecr plasma reactor as well as ICP and a helicon wave plasma reactors (column 17, lines 40-45), all of which are considered to be high density plasma reactors that typically generate a plasma with a density of 1X10¹¹ cm⁻³. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use an etchant comprising a mixture of chlorine and oxygen to etch the polysilicon gate layer of Hashimoto because a primary objective of Hashimoto is to decrease the antenna charging affect while etching a gate electrode layer that overlies a gate oxide layer and Jeng teaches that this concern of Hashimoto may be further addressed by using a mixture of chlorine and oxygen as the etchant.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/691,119

Art Unit: 1763

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Olsen whose telephone number is 703-306-9075. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Mills, can be reached on 703-308-1633.

The general fax numbers for TC1700 are 703-872-9310 (non-after finals) and 703-872-9311(after-final).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Ala Sa

Allan Olsen, Ph.D. September 23, 2003